UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	KET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,220	06/22/2005	Christian Prentner	101194-92	7302	
	7590 02/12/200 AUGHLIN & MARCU	EXAMINER			
875 THIRD AV		WILKENS, JANET MARIE			
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/519,220	PRENTNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Janet M. Wilkens	3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods:							
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		THO THE ET WAS TH	220 111111111 1110				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed, and the complete of Appeal has been filed has been filed and the complete of Appeal has been filed has been file	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(-					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-9</u> would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		ely filed amendment c	anceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-9</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected to. <u>2-9</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	-						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
<ul><li>12.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13.   Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>1/31/200</u>	<u>08</u>					
	/Janet M. Wilkens/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3637					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of claim 1 is still deemed proper--see arguments of previous Office action.